# Abstract Control Number: 3277: The Development and Performance of the Spatial Proteogenomic GeoMx<sup>®</sup> Workflow for the **Detection of Both RNA and Protein on a Single FFPE Slide**

Shilah A. Bonnett<sup>1</sup>, Giang Ong<sup>1</sup>, Alyssa Rosenbloom<sup>1</sup>, John Lyssand<sup>1</sup>, Gary Geiss<sup>1</sup>, and Joseph Beechem<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>NanoString Technologies, Inc. Seattle, Washington, USA

Introduction

The GeoMx <sup>®</sup> DSP enables spatially resolved, high-plex digital quantitation of proteins (≥ 100-plex) and RNA (up to 21,000- plex) from FFPE or FF samples (A) [1-3]. This technology utilizes unique affinity reagents antibodies for protein or ISH probes for RNA coupled to UV photocleavable oligonucleotide barcodes. Tissue samples are co-incubated with these affinity reagents and fluorescent markers, then subsequently imaged using fluorescence microscopy. Oligonucleotide barcodes are then precisely liberated from any area of interest (AOI) with UV-light, collected and quantified with either an nCounter® Platform or Next-Generation Sequencer (NGS). To fully capture the biological processes that control transcription, translation and protein turnover, the individual RNA and protein datasets can be merged for multiomic analysis (B). However, technical variations stemming form section-to-section variability and precisely matching ROIs across multiple slides, needs to be taken into consideration when analyzing data. To gain deeper insight and control for these variables, multimodal omics has been used as an alternative approach, which pertains to the simultaneous, co-detection of multiple 'omes' in a single sample. Integration of a multimodal omic approach into the GeoMx workflow would enable a deeper characterization of limited and precious biological samples. Furthermore, the simultaneous assessment of RNA and protein from a single AOI would reduce technical variation associated with two separate, single analyte workflows. To expand upon GeoMx DSP capabilities, we have developed a novel co-detection workflow for NGS readout that allows for the profiling of both RNA and protein from the area of interest (AOI) on a FFPE tissue section [4]. Here we describe the technical development and performance of the Spatial Proteogenomic Workflow on cell pellet array (CPA) and various tissues using a high plex GeoMx Protein Assay and either the GeoMx Cancer Transcriptome Atlas (GeoMx CTA) or GeoMx Human Whole Transcriptome Atlas (GeoMx Hu WTA).



Multimodal omics

Impact of Staining Order on Protein Detection





Harsh ISH conditions (i.e. formamide) can have a negative impact on antigen-antibody

interactions. We, therefore, screened three different "staining" strategies on FFPE cell

pellet stained with a 6 stacked Human GeoMx Protein Module (59-plex). Optimal



Impact of varying ProK concentrations

The impact of varying concentration of ProK on analyte detection was carried out on 45-cell pellet array (FFPE) pretreated under basic HIER conditions follow by proteolytic treatment. Samples were stained with GeoMx Hu WTA followed by a 6 stacked GeoMx Human Protein Module for NGS (59-plex) under Proteogenomic Workflow. (A) Pearson correlation on the log2 transformed SNR data between the proteogenomic workflow and the single analyte controls along with the CCLE RNAseq database. Plots represents the number of (B) detectable protein targets and (C) true positive detectable RNA targets.

Drok (ug (m))	Drotain	RNA		
Prok (µg/mL)	Protein	WTA Control	RNAseq CCLE <sup>1, 2</sup>	
0	0.85	0.86	0.76	
0.1	0.86	0.89	0.8	
0.5	0.85	0.91	0.82	
1	0.83	0.91	0.83	

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) [5]

<sup>2</sup>Pearson coefficient correlation between the CTA control and the CCLE data base is 0.86





Assessment of spatial proteogenomic performance versus the respective RNA and Protein control on cell lines. A 11-cell pellet array (CPA) was stained with GeoMx CTA under and 6 stacked GeoMx Human Protein Module for NGS (59-plex) under Proteogenomic and standard workflow conditions. (A) Cell line to cell line comparison of Protein Control to proteogenomic protein data. (B) Target to target comparison of Protein Control to proteogenomic protein data. (C) Cell line to cell line comparison of GeoMx Hu WTA data from RNA Control and proteogenomic workflow to entire CCLE RNAseq dataset [5]. Comparison of protein targets to respective GeoMx Hu WTA RNA target using the (**D**) Proteogenomic Workflow and (**E**) multiomic.









## GeoMx Spatial Proteogenomic Workflow



# Performance on CPA

(magenta, immune). ROIs of 100 μm in diameter were matched across all slide conditions and tissue sections. The average number of targets above the detection threshold for (B) protein and (C) genes targets for each region profiled by the single analyte Control or Proteogenomic Workflow. (D) Comparison of RNA targets observed in both RNA and Proteogenomic Workflow. (E) ROI to ROI comparison of GeoMx Hu WTA data. Pearson R between log2 SNR transformed data for each ROI from the RNA Control slide were calculated against all the ROIs in the Proteogenomic slide. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed on the R values

(CD45+)

Tumor (PanCK+)

Slide Condition

Control Proteogenomic

Immune Tumor

Normalized Counts (log2











#### Conclusion

- The GeoMx Spatial Proteogenomic Workflow allows for the co-detection of proteins (≥ 100plex) and RNA (up to 21,000-plex) from a single FFPE sample
- The performance of the Proteogenomic Workflow has been confirmed on various cell pellets and tissues
- Able to resolve distinct tumor or immune targets when the Proteogenomic Workflow is used in conjunction with the segmentation capabilities of the GeoMx The advantages Proteogenomic Workflow
- Enables a deeper characterization of limited and precious biological samples
- Able to profile both analytes from identical cell population • Eliminates technical variability associated with section-to-section variation and matching ROIs across multiple slides

FOR RESEARCH USE ONLY. Not for use in diagnostic procedures. © 2021 NanoString Technologies, Inc. All rights reserved. NanoString, NanoString Technologies, and GeoMx are registered trademarks of NanoString Technologies, Inc., in the United States and/or other countries.

**RNA** Targets

### References

- 1. C. R. Merritt et al., Multiplex digital spatial profiling of proteins and RNA in fixed tissue.
- Nature Biotechnology 38, 586-599 (2020) 2. D. R. Zollinger, S. E. Lingle, K. Sorg, J. M. Beechem, C. R. Merritt, GeoMx<sup>™</sup> RNA Assay: High Multiplex, Digital, Spatial Analysis of RNA in FFPE Tissue. Methods Mol Biol 2148, 331-345
- (2020)3. S. M. Zimmerman et al., Spatially resolved whole transcriptome profiling in human and
- mouse tissue using Digital Spatial Profiling. bioRxiv, 2021.2009.2029.462442 (2021) 4. S.A. Bonnett, G. Ong, et al., The Development and Performance of a GeoMx Based Proteogenomic Workflow for the Detection of Both RNA and Protein on a Single FFPE Slide. https://www.nanostring.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/WP\_MK4240\_Spatial-Proteogenomics r3.pdf
- 5. M. Ghandi et al., Next-generation characterization of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia. Nature 569, 503-508 (2019)